CP 560/- (1)

SINGLE BENCH

(5)

In the Honble High Court of Chhattishgarh at Bilaspur (C.G.)

W.P.(s). No. 781 2006

Petitioner ..... (1) Tulsi Ram Sahu, Aged 39 Years
S/o Shri Gobru Ram Sahu,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primery School, Kanari
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

(2) Domar Singh Nishad, Aged 40 Years
S/o Shri Dhani Ram Nishad,
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primery School, Kenergondi
Gurur Block, District Durg (C.G.)

C.R. No. 925/07 Presented by Shri S.K. Verma dated 2/2/07

(3) Madho Ram Markam, Aged 40 Years
S/o Shri Latel Singh Markam
Assistant Teacher,
Govt. Primery School, Kariyatola
Block Gurur ,Distt. Durg.

(4) Man Singh Markhande Aged 40 Yrs.,
S/O Shri Mohan Lal Markhande
Assistant Teacher
Govt. Primery School, Bharrepara Palari
Block Gurur, Distt. Durg

RECEIVED-COPY
Badh 9-2-07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(5) Rekh Ram Mandavi ,Aged 49 years
S/O Shri Ram Ratan Mandavi
Assistant Teacher,
Primery School,Nagjhar
Block Gurur, District Durg (C.G.)

Versus

Respondent ..... (1) State Govt. of Chhattisgarh
Through:- Secretary,
Tribal Welfare Department & Education
Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur
(C.G.)

(2) Assistant Commissioner,
Tribal Welfare Department
Durg (C.G.)

(3) District Education Officer,
Durg. (C.G.)

COMMISSIONER DURG (M.P.)

Writ petition under articles 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of MANDAMUS.

(19)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 780 of 2007

Petitioner : Sohan Lal Raj

Versus

Respondents : State Government of Chhattisgarh and others

And

W. P.(S) No. 781 of 2007

Petitioners : Tulsi Ram Sahu and others

Versus

Respondents : State Govt. of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Present: Mr. Ajay Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Ms. Sunita Jain, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State.

ORDER

(Passed on this 9th Day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts of the present writ petition are similar to that of in W. P.(S) No. 7002/2006 (Yogeshwar Prasad Patanwar Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others), which was disposed of vide order dated 15.12.2006 (Annexure P/4 & P/8). They further submit that the question of law involved is also the same.
2. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the order dated 15.12.2006, passed by this Court in W. P.(S) No. 7002/2006 (Yogeshwar Prasad Patanwar Vs. State of Chhattisgarh & others). No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge